

1 COOLEY LLP
STEVEN M. STRAUSS (99153) (sms@cooley.com)
2 JOHN S. KYLE (199196) (jkyle@cooley.com)
4401 Eastgate Mall
3 San Diego, California 92121
Telephone: (858) 550-6000
4 Facsimile: (858) 550-6420

5 TIMOTHY S. TETER (171451) (teterts@cooley.com)
JEFFREY S. KARR (186372) (jkarr@cooley.com)
6 Five Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
7 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
Telephone: (650) 843-5000
8 Facsimile: (650) 857-0663

9 Attorneys for Defendants
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, SNAPTRACK, INC. and
10 NORMAN KRASNER

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN DIEGO DIVISION
15

16 GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION and TRACE
17 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
SNAPTRACK, INC. and NORMAN
21 KRASNER,

22 Defendants.
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 08-cv-1992 AJB MDD

**DEFENDANTS QUALCOMM,
INCORPORATED, SNAPTRACK INC., AND
NORMAN KRASNER'S MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SUBMIT
CERTAIN DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 Defendants Qualcomm Incorporated, SnapTrack, Inc. and Norman Krasner (collectively
3 “Defendants”) respectfully request that the Court seal portions of their Memorandum of Points
4 and Authorities in Support of *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Testimony and Expert Reports of
5 Plaintiff’s Experts Anant Sahai and Nenad Medvidovic (“Moving Brief”) and certain exhibits to
6 the Declaration of Declaration of Timothy S. Teter In Support of Defendants’ *Daubert* Motion
7 (“Teter Declaration”), both filed on August 10, 2012.

8 **II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY.**

9 On April 21, 2010, this Court issued a Protective Order [Dkt No. 67], the terms of which
10 were stipulated to by the Parties in this matter.

11 This Protective Order defines as “Confidential” “any document, thing, or information
12 (collectively “material”) which contains trade secrets or other confidential research, development
13 or commercial information.” (Protective Order at 1:2-5.) The Protective Order prohibits the
14 disclosure of Confidential materials except in certain enumerated circumstances. (*See id.* at 6:3-
15 12:3.) Section 13 of the Protective Order requires all confidential materials to be filed with the
16 Court under seal and requires any party wishing to file confidential materials under seal to seek
17 leave of Court pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79.2. The Protective Order further states that “subject
18 to public policy, and further court order, nothing shall be filed under seal, and the court shall not
19 be required to take any action, without separate prior order by the Judge before whom the
20 proceeding will take place, after application by the affected party with appropriate notice to
21 opposing counsel.” (*Id.* at 19:9-12.)

22 **III. ARGUMENT**

23 Defendants move to file under seal portions of the Moving Brief as well as Exhibits 1, 2,
24 3, and 4, to the Teter Declaration.

25 The evidence Defendants cite in the Moving Brief falls into two categories of Confidential
26 information as contemplated by the Protective Order—confidential expert reports and
27 confidential expert deposition testimony. Exhibits 1 and 3 to the Teter Declaration are the written
28 reports of Plaintiffs’ experts Anant Sahai and Nenad Medvidovic, respectively. Plaintiffs

1 designated both expert reports Confidential and subject to the Protective Order. Pursuant to
2 Section 13 of that Protective Order, Defendants seek to file those reports under seal. Defendants
3 further seek to file the Moving Brief under seal and then to redact from it all references to the text
4 of those reports in a subsequent publicly-filed version.

5 Exhibits 2 and 4 are excerpts from the Sahai and Medvidovic deposition transcripts,
6 respectively. Those transcripts have been designated as confidential and must be handled in
7 accordance with the Protective Order. Pursuant to Section 13 of that Protective Order,
8 Defendants seek to file those exhibits under seal. Defendants further seek to file the Moving
9 Brief under seal and then to redact from it all facts derived from those transcripts in a subsequent
10 publicly-filed version.

11 **IV. CONCLUSION.**

12 Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully requests that the Court issue an order
13 permitting the filing under seal portions of the Moving Brief as well as Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 to
14 the Teter Declaration. Defendants shall forthwith publicly file a redacted version of the Moving
15 Brief.

16
17 Dated: August 10, 2012

COOLEY LLP

18
19 */s/ Jeffrey S. Karr*

20 STEVEN M. STRAUSS (sms@cooley.com)

21 JEFFREY S. KARR (jkarr@cooley.com)

22 Attorneys for Defendants
23
24
25
26
27
28